

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/073,688	02/11/2002	Owen M. Briles	01-565	4410
7:	590 09/15/2003			
Barry L. Kelmachter		EXAMINER		
BACHMAN & LaPOINTE, P.C. Suite 1201			OLTMANS, ANDREW L	
900 Chapel Street New Haven, CT 06510-2802			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1742	
			DATE MAILED: 09/15/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/073,688	BRILES ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Andrew L Oltmans	1742
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
	— s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under <i>I</i>	nce except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application		·
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.	•
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,9,10,12 and 14-20</u> is/are rejected.		
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8,11,13 and 21</u> is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	•	ved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa		
	arimer,	•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	, ,	
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prioring application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	•	
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language prov 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	visional application has been rec	eived.
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2.	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)
		

Application/Control Number: 10/073,688

Art Unit: 1742

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Joesten 5,638,522 in view of Japanese Patent 2001-123274 A Mitsui Mining and Smelting Co. Ltd.

2. Claims 1-2, 6-7, 9-10, 12, 14-16 and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Joesten 5,638,522 (Joesten; cited on IDS filed February 2, 2002) in view of Japanese Patent 2001-123274 A Mitsui Mining and Smelting Co. Ltd. (JP '274).

NOTE: All references to JP '274 below are to the English Language abstract or the English Language translation provided by the examiner.

Joesten teaches the claimed steps of degreasing, cleaning, deoxidizing and immersing, including the specific pH, temperature and time of contact limitations claimed, wherein the immersing takes place in a composition that comprises the claimed amount of phosphate and fluoride, as instantly claimed in claims 1-2, 7, 10, 12, 14-16 and 20 (col 6):

Application/Control Number: 10/073,688

Art Unit: 1742

15

10

15

degreasing the magnesium alloy product in an aqueousbased degreasing solution;

cleaning the magnesium alloy product in a highly alkaline aqueous-based cleaning solution;

deoxidizing the magnesium alloy product in a deoxidizing solution; and

immersing the magnesium alloy product in a solution having phosphate and fluoride ions wherein a pH level of the solution is controlled in an approximate range of 5 to 7, the solution being provided with a concentration by weight of sodium bifluoride at a concentration of about 0.3-0.5%, and being maintained at a temperature of approximately 130 degrees Fahrenheit while immersing the magnesium alloy product for a period of approximately thirty minutes.

Joesten fails to meet all the limitations of the instant claims in that Joesten does not explicitly teach the inclusion of the corrosion inhibitor instantly claimed.

JP '274 teaches a composition for treating magnesium and the method for using the composition to treat magnesium (abstract), wherein the composition includes the claimed corrosion inhibitor, potassium permanganate in the claimed amount in combination with phosphate (paragraph [0012]), wherein the combination of phosphate and permanganate results in a corrosion resistant magnesium alloy product, wherein the life of processing bath is extended (paragraph [0006]).

One of ordinary skill in the art at the time that the invention was made would have found the use of the corrosion inhibitor of JP '274 in Joesten obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use the corrosion inhibitor of JP '274 in combination with the phosphate of Joesten in order to provide Joesten with the desirable properties of an increased corrosion resistance and increased bath life, as taught in JP '274 (paragraph [0006]).

Art Unit: 1742

Joesten 5,638,522 in view of Riley 5,520,750

3. Claims 1-5, 7, 9-10, 12, 14-18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Joesten 5,638,522 (Joesten; cited on IDS filed February 2, 2002) in view of Riley 5,520,750 (Riley).

Joesten teaches as set forth above in paragraph 2, as recited in claims 1-2, 7, 10, 12, 14-16 and 20.

Joesten fails to meet all the limitations of the instant claims in that Joesten does not explicitly teach the inclusion of the corrosion inhibitor instantly claimed.

Riley teaches a composition for treating aluminum and the method for using the composition to treat aluminum (abstract), wherein the composition includes the claimed corrosion inhibitor, sodium vanadate or sodium tunsgstate in the claimed amount in combination with phosphate (col 1, lines 62-67), wherein the combination of phosphate and vanadate or tunsgstate results in a corrosion resistant aluminum alloy product (col 2):

Ammonium and alkali metal salts such as ammonium vanadate and tungstate or sodium vanadate and tungstate are generally the preferred source of the metal oxo ion. Preferably the metal oxo ion is present in a concentration from 10 to 100 g/l and more preferably from 10 to 60 g/l.

(col 6):

Application/Control Number: 10/073,688

Art Unit: 1742

	Results after 7 weeks wet stack
Passivation system	@ 40° C.
Phosphoric acid	50-60% white corrosion product
!	on surface some surface
	blackening also evident
Molybdate + phosphoric acid	No blackening evident
Vanadate + phosphoric acid	No blackening evident
Tungstate + phosphoric acid	Light/medium black blotches
	seen on up to 10% of sample
,	surface
Chromate control	No corrosion evident

One of ordinary skill in the art at the time that the invention was made would have found the use of the corrosion inhibitor of Riley in Joesten obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use the corrosion inhibitor taught in Riley for aluminum with the phosphate of Joesten because aluminum and magnesium and chemically similar (i.e. light weight metallic elements having an oxidatively active surface) and to provide Joesten with the desirable property of increased corrosion resistance, as taught in Riley (col 6, Table 9).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 8, 11, 13 and 21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
 - a. A primary reason for the allowance of claims 8, 11, 13 and 21, under the above conditions, is that the prior art fails to teach or suggest, alone or in combination, the

Application/Control Number: 10/073,688 Page 6

Art Unit: 1742

instantly claimed composition or the method of using the composition wherein the composition further includes the surfactant in the claimed compositional range.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew L. Oltmans whose telephone number is 703-308-2594. The examiner can normally be reached 7:00-3:30 Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 703-308-1146. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Andrew L. Oltmans

Examiner Art Unit 1742

September 8, 2003